arXiv:math/9905028v1 [math.QA] 5 May 1999

MANIN TRIPLES OF REAL SIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS. PART 2

A.PANOV
Samara State University
ul.Akad.Panlova 1, Samara, 443011,Russia
panov@info.ssu.samara.ru

Abstract. We complete the study of Manin triples of real simple Lie algebras. In the Part 2 of the article we classify the Manin triples $(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}), W, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}))$ (case 2 of the doubles) up to weak and gauge equivalence.

0.Introduction

First we recall the main definitions of the Part 1.

Definition 1. Let $\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2, \mathbf{d}$ be Lie algebras over a field K and let Q be a symmetric nondegenerate bilinear form on $\mathbf{d}$. A triple $(\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2, \mathbf{d})$ is called a Manin triple if $Q(x, y)$ is *ad*-invariant and $\mathbf{d}$ is a direct sum of maximum isotropic subspaces $\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2$.

Definition 2. We say that two Manin triples $(\mathbf{g}, W, \mathbf{d})$ and $(\mathbf{g}, W', \mathbf{d})$ are weak equivalent if there exists an element a in the adjoint group D of the double $\mathbf{d}$ such that $W' = Ad_a(W)$.

Definition 3. We say that two Manin triples $(\mathbf{g}, W, \mathbf{d})$ and $(\mathbf{g}, W', \mathbf{d})$ are gauge equivalent if there exists an element a in the adjoint group D of double $\mathbf{d}$ such that $W' = Ad_a(W)$ and $Ad_a(\mathbf{g}) = \mathbf{g}$.

We study Manin triples as follows. First for given $\mathbf{g}$ we find all the doubles $\mathbf{d}$ and describe all forms $Q(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathbf{d}$ such that $\mathbf{g}$ is an isotropic subspace with respect to this form. Then fixing $\mathbf{d}$ and Q we study complementary subalgebras W such that $(\mathbf{g}, W, \mathbf{d})$ forms a Manin triple.

We are going the classify Manin triples of real simple Lie algebras up to weak and gauge equivalence. It is known that the double $\mathbf{d}$ of complex simple Lie algebra $\mathbf{g}$ is isomorphic to one of following Lie algebras $\mathbf{g} \otimes A_i(\mathbf{C})$, where $A_1(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{C}[t]/t^2$, $A_2(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{C}[t]/(t^2 - 1/4)$. In Part 1 of this paper we study the action of conjugation σ of $\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{R}$ on complex doubles and obtain that the double $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{R})$ of real simple Lie algebra $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R})$ is isomorphic to one of following algebras $\mathbf{g} \otimes A_i(\mathbf{R})$, where $A_1(\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{R}[t]/t^2$, $A_2(\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{R}[t]/(t^2 - 1/4)$, $A_3(\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{C}$.

So, according to the double, there exist 3 types of Manin triples. In Part 1 we consider Manin triples $(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}), W, \mathbf{g})$ (i.e.Case 3). In this paper we study Manin triples $(\mathbf{g}, W, \mathbf{g} \oplus \mathbf{g})$ (i.e. Case 2.)

On Manin triples $(\mathbf{g}, W, \mathbf{g} \oplus \mathbf{g})$

Let $\mathbf{g}$ be a semisimple Lie algebra over the field $\mathbf{C}$ of complex numbers. Let G be the adjoint group of $\mathbf{g}$. Denote by Δ (resp. Δ^+) the system of roots (resp.of positive roots) and by $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ the sistem of simple roots. Let $K(\cdot,\cdot)$ be a Killing form. Consider the Weyl basis :

$$H_k = H_{\alpha_k}, \alpha_k \in \Pi; E_\alpha, E_{-a}, \alpha \in \Delta^+$$

$$[H, E_\alpha] = \alpha(H)E_\alpha, \quad [E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}] = H_\alpha,$$
$$\alpha(H) = K(H_\alpha, H), \quad K(E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}) = 1$$

As usial $\mathbf{h}$ is a Cartan subalgebra and $\mathbf{n}^\pm$ is upper and lower nilpotent subalgebras.

Let $(\mathbf{g}, W, \mathbf{d})$ be a Manin triple with $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{g} \oplus \mathbf{g}$. We recall the classification of Manin triples in this case. Denote by j the image of t in $A_2(\mathbf{C})$. and $e = \frac{1}{2} + j$, $f = \frac{1}{2} - j$. The elements e, f are ortogonal idempotents, i.e. $ef = fe = 0$ and $e^2 = f^2 = 1$. The double $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{g}e + \mathbf{g}f$. The simple calculations yield that

$$Q(ae + bf, a'e + b'f) = K(a, a') - K(b, b')$$

where $K(\cdot,\cdot)$ is the Killing form of $\mathbf{g}$.

Let Π_1, Π_2 be the subsets of Π and $\phi : \Pi_1 \mapsto \Pi_2$ is the map such that:
i) ϕ is a bijection,
ii) $(\phi(\alpha), \phi(\beta)) = (\alpha, \beta)$,
iii) for every $\alpha \in \Pi_1$ there exists k with the property $\phi(\alpha), \dots, \phi^{k-1}(\alpha) \in \Pi_1$ and $\phi^k(\alpha) \notin \Pi_1$.
Notations:
$\mathbf{g}_i$ the Lie semisimple subalgebra of $\mathbf{g}$ with the system of simple roots Π_i, system of positive roots Δ_i^+ and Cartan subalgebra $\mathbf{h}_i$; G_i is the subgroup with Lie algebra $\mathbf{g}_i$.
$\phi : \mathbf{g}_1 \mapsto \mathbf{g}_2$ isomorphism of semisimple Lie subalgebras defined via $\phi : \Pi_1 \mapsto \Pi_2$,
$\mathbf{p}_i^\pm$ is upper and lower parabolic subalgebras, $\mathbf{n}_i^\pm$ is the nilpotent radical of $\mathbf{p}_i^\pm$,
$\mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{g}_i + \mathbf{h}$ is the reductive part of $\mathbf{p}_i^\pm$, R_i is Lie subgroup with Lie algebra $\mathbf{r}_i$ and $R' = R_1 \bigcap R_2$.
Let $\overline{\mathbf{h}}_1$, $\overline{\mathbf{h}}_2$ be the subalgebras of $\mathbf{h}$ such that $\overline{\mathbf{h}}_i^\perp \subset \overline{\mathbf{h}}_i$ and $\mathbf{h}_i \subset \overline{\mathbf{h}}_i$.
Denote $\mathbf{l}_i = \overline{\mathbf{h}}_i^\perp = Ker K(\cdot,\cdot)|_{\overline{\mathbf{h}}_i}$, $\overline{\mathbf{r}}_i = \mathbf{g}_i + \overline{\mathbf{h}}_i$
Suppose that ϕ is extended to the isomorphism

$$\phi : \overline{\mathbf{r}}_1/\mathbf{l}_1 \mapsto \overline{\mathbf{r}}_2/\mathbf{l}_2 \tag{1.1}$$

Definition 1.1. The following condition we shall call the condition iv):
iv) ϕ preserve $K(\cdot,\cdot)$ and has no fixed points in $\mathbf{h}$.
(the last means that there is no $x \in \overline{\mathbf{r}}_1 \bigcap \overline{\mathbf{r}}_2$, $x \neq 0$ such that $\phi(x) = x(mod(\mathbf{l}_2))$.
Consider

$$\mathbf{W}(\phi) = \mathbf{l}_1 e + \mathbf{n}_1^+ e + \sum_{x \in \overline{\mathbf{r}}_1} \mathbf{C}(xe + \phi(x)f) + \mathbf{n}_2^- f + \mathbf{l}_2 f \tag{1.2}$$

Theorem 1.2([BD],[ST],[CGR],[S]). Let $\mathbf{g}$ be a semisimple Lie algebra. Let ϕ satisfy i), ii), iii), iv). Then
1) $(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{W}(\phi), \mathbf{g} \oplus \mathbf{g}))$ is a Manin triple;
2) Every Manin triple $(\mathbf{g}, W', \mathbf{g} \oplus \mathbf{g})$ is gauge equivalent to $(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{W}(\phi), \mathbf{g} \oplus \mathbf{g})$.

On Manin triples $(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}), W, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}))$

Let $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R})$ be a real simple Lie algebra. It is well known that $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R})$ is a real form of a complex simple Lie algebra $\mathbf{g}$ or coinsides with the realification of $\mathbf{g}$ (see [VO],[GG]). It was proved in [CGR] and [CH] that Manin triples $(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}), W, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}))$ correspond to the solutions $\tilde{Q} : \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}) \mapsto \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R})$ of Modified Yang-Baxter equation

$$[\tilde{Q}x, \tilde{Q}y] - \tilde{Q}[\tilde{Q}x, y] - \tilde{Q}[x, \tilde{Q}y] = \lambda[x, y]$$

with $\lambda < 0$. A solution exists whenever the simple real Lie algebra $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R})$ has no black roots in Satake-diagram [CGR]. It takes place for algebras:
L1) $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R})$ is a split real form complex simple Lie algebra ;
L2) $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{R}}$ is the realification of $\mathbf{g}$;
L3) $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}) = su(p, p), su(p, p + 1)$;
L4) $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}) = so(p, p + 2)$;
L5) $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}) = EII$;
Let $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R})$ be a real simple Lie algebra of L1-L5.
Denote by $\Gamma = \{1, \sigma : \sigma^2 = 1\}$ the Galois group $\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{R}$. There exists a semilinear action of Γ on $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{g} \otimes \mathbf{C}$ such that $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{C})^\Gamma$. There exist the subalgebras $\mathbf{h}$, $\mathbf{n}^\pm$ in $\mathbf{gC}$ such that ${}^\sigma\mathbf{n}^+ = \mathbf{n}^+$, ${}^\sigma\mathbf{n}^- = \mathbf{n}^-$, ${}^\sigma\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}$ (see [CGR]). We are fixing further this subalgebras.
We have the action of σ on the roots ${}^\sigma\alpha(h) = \overline{\alpha({}^\sigma h)}$, $h \in \mathbf{h}$, $\alpha \in \Delta$. In all cases L1-L5 $\sigma(\Pi) = \Pi$. For the acton of σ on Π see Satake-diagrams [VO].

Let $(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}), W, \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{R}))$ be a Manin triple with $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R})$ (i.e. Case 2). Then $(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{C}), W \otimes \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{R}) \otimes \mathbf{C})$ is Manin triple over $\mathbf{C}$. Clearly, $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{R}) \otimes \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{C}) \oplus \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{C})$. The action of σ on $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{C})$ is as follows ${}^\sigma e = e$ and ${}^\sigma f = f$.

Recall that $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{g}$ in the case $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R})$ is a real form of a complex simple Lie algebra $\mathbf{g}$ and $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{g}$ in the case $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{R}}$ is the realification of $\mathbf{g}$. To simplify the notations, we shall refer $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{C})$ as $\mathbf{g}$ till the end of this section.

According to Theorem 1.2, $W \otimes \mathbf{C}$ coinsides with some σ-invariant subalgebra $Ad_g\mathbf{W}(\phi)$, where $g \in G$.In this section we are going to study σ-invariant subalgebras in the orbit $Ad_g\mathbf{W}(\phi)$ of the adjoint group G. The list of real forms of this subalgebras $W = (Ad_g\mathbf{W}(\phi))^\Gamma$ provides the classification of Manin triples $(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}), W, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}))$.

Consider

$$^\sigma(Ad_g\mathbf{W}(\phi)) = Ad_g\mathbf{W}(\phi) \tag{2.1}$$

It follows

$$^\sigma(\mathbf{W}(\phi)) = Ad_p\mathbf{W}(\phi), \tag{2.2}$$

where $p = {}^\sigma g^{-1}g$. Note that ${}^\sigma p = p^{-1}$. Replacing $\mathbf{W}(\phi)$ by (1.2) in (2.1), we get the equality of two subalgebras

$$\begin{aligned} &{}^\sigma\mathbf{l}_1 e +^\sigma \mathbf{n}_1^+ e + \textstyle\sum_{x \in \bar{\mathbf{r}}_1} \mathbf{C}({}^\sigma xe +^\sigma (\phi(x))f) +^\sigma \mathbf{n}_2^- f + {}^\sigma\mathbf{l}_2 f = Ad_p(\mathbf{l}_1)e + \\ &Ad_p(\mathbf{n}_1^+)e + \textstyle\sum_{x \in \bar{\mathbf{r}}_1} \mathbf{C}(Ad_p(x)e + Ad_p(\phi(x))f) + Ad_p(\mathbf{n}_2^-)f + Ad_p(\mathbf{l}_2)f \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Denote $\mathbf{m}_1 = \mathbf{g}_1 \bigcap \mathbf{n}_2^-$, $\mathbf{m}_2 = \mathbf{g}_2 \bigcap \mathbf{n}_1^+$.
Lemma 2.1. We save the notation of section 1. Let ϕ satisfy i), ii), iii), iv) and (2.3)

hold. Then the following statements are true.
1) The conjugation σ saves $\mathbf{l}_1$, $\mathbf{r}_1$, $\overline{\mathbf{r}}_1$, $\mathbf{g}_1$, $\mathbf{m}_1$ and $\mathbf{l}_2$, $\mathbf{r}_2$, $\overline{\mathbf{r}}_2$, $\mathbf{g}_2$, $\mathbf{m}_2$. This defines the maps $\sigma : \overline{\mathbf{r}}_1/\mathbf{l}_1 \mapsto \overline{\mathbf{r}}_1/\mathbf{l}_1$, $\sigma : \overline{\mathbf{r}}_2/\mathbf{l}_2 \mapsto \overline{\mathbf{r}}_2/\mathbf{l}_2$;
2) $p \in P_1^+ \bigcap P_2^-$; there exists the decomposition $p = m_1 q m_2$ with $m_1 \in \exp(\mathbf{m}_1)$, $m_2 \in exp(\mathbf{m}_2)$, $q \in R'$. The elements m_1, m_2, q obey the following relations $m_1 m_2 = m_2 m_1$, ${}^{\sigma}m_1 = q^{-1} m_1^{-1} q$, ${}^{\sigma}m_2 = q m_2^{-1} q^{-1}$, ${}^{\sigma}q = q^{-1}$;
3) Denote $p_1 = m_1 q$ and $p_2 = q m_2$. Then ${}^{\sigma}p_1 = p_1^{-1}$ and ${}^{\sigma}p_2 = p_2^{-1}$;
4) $Ad_{p_1}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}_1) = \overline{\mathbf{r}}_1$, $Ad_{p_1}(\mathbf{l}_1) = \mathbf{l}_1$, $Ad_{p_2}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}_2) = \overline{\mathbf{r}}_2$, $Ad_{p_2}(\mathbf{l}_2) = \mathbf{l}_2$. This defines $Ad_{p_1} : \overline{\mathbf{r}}_1/\mathbf{l}_1 \mapsto \overline{\mathbf{r}}_1/\mathbf{l}_1$, $Ad_{p_2} : \overline{\mathbf{r}}_2/\mathbf{l}_2 \mapsto \overline{\mathbf{r}}_2/\mathbf{l}_2$ and

$$\phi(Ad_{p_1}^{-1\sigma}(x)) = Ad_{p_2}^{-1\sigma}\phi(x) \tag{2.4}$$

holds for $x \in \overline{\mathbf{r}}_1/\mathbf{l}_1$.

Proof. Consider the intesection of left and right sets of (2.3) with $\mathbf{g}e$ and $\mathbf{g}f$.
We have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} {}^{\sigma}(\mathbf{l}_1 + \mathbf{n}_1^+) & = & Ad_p(\mathbf{l}_1 + \mathbf{n}_1^+) \\ {}^{\sigma}(\mathbf{l}_2 + \mathbf{n}_2^-) & = & Ad_p(\mathbf{l}_2 + \mathbf{n}_2^-) \end{array} \right. \tag{2.5}$$

Compairing the radicals of this subalgebras, we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} {}^{\sigma}\mathbf{n}_1^+ & = & Ad_p\mathbf{n}_1^+ \\ {}^{\sigma}\mathbf{n}_2^- & = & Ad_p\mathbf{n}_2^- \end{array} \right. \tag{2.6}$$

Since ${}^{\sigma}\mathbf{n}_1^+ = \mathbf{n}_1^+$ and ${}^{\sigma}\mathbf{n}_2^- = \mathbf{n}_2^-$, then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} \mathbf{n}_1^+ & = & Ad_p\mathbf{n}_1^+ \\ \mathbf{n}_2^- & = & Ad_p\mathbf{n}_2^- \end{array} \right. \tag{2.7}$$

This implies

$$p \in P_1^+ \bigcap P_2^-.$$

The element p can be uniquely decomposed

$$p = m_1 q m_2, \tag{2.8}$$

where $m_1 \in exp(\mathbf{m}_1)$, $m_2 \in exp(\mathbf{m}_2)$, $q \in R'$.
First we are going to prove statement 2) of the Lemma. Choose the elements $\mu_1 \in \mathbf{m}_1$ and $\mu_2 \in \mathbf{m}_2$ such that $m_1 = exp(\mu_1)$, $m_2 = exp(\mu_2)$. Up to definition,

$$\mu_1 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_1 - \Delta_2} \xi_\alpha E_{-\alpha}, \qquad \mu_2 = \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_2 - \Delta_1} \eta_\beta E_\beta$$

Suppose that $[E_{-\alpha}, E_\beta] = cE_\gamma$ and $c \neq 0$. Then $-\alpha + \beta = \gamma$ is a root. If $\gamma > 0$, then $\beta = \alpha + \gamma$ and there exists the simple roots $\Pi_1 - \Pi_2$ in decomposition of β in the sum of simple roots. This contradicts to $\beta \in \Delta_2$. If $\gamma < 0$, then $\alpha = \beta - \gamma$ and similarly we get a contradiction. Hence $[E_{-\alpha}, E_\beta] = 0$. Therefore, $[\mu_1, \mu_2] = 0$ and $m_1 m_2 = m_2 m_1$.

Since ${}^{\sigma}p = p^{-1}$, then

$${}^{\sigma}m_1 {}^{\sigma}q {}^{\sigma}m_2 = m_2^{-1} q^{-1} m_1^{-1} = m_2^{-1}(q^{-1} m_1^{-1} q) q^{-1} =$$

$$(q^{-1}m_1^{-1}q)m_2^{-1}q^{-1} = (q^{-1}m_1^{-1}q)q^{-1}(qm_2^{-1}q^{-1}).$$

Thus, ${}^{\sigma}m_1 = q^{-1}m_1^{-1}q$, ${}^{\sigma}m_2 = qm_2^{-1}q^{-1}$, ${}^{\sigma}q = q^{-1}$. This proves 2). One can deduce 3) from 2) by direct calculations.

Since $\mathbf{g}_2 \bigcap \mathbf{l}_2 = 0$, then $Ad_{p_2}\mathbf{l}_2 = \mathbf{l}_2$. Therefore,

$$Ad_p(\mathbf{l}_2 + \mathbf{n}_2^-) = Ad_p\mathbf{l}_2 + Ad_p\mathbf{n}_2^- = Ad_{m_1}\mathbf{l}_2 + \mathbf{n}_2^- = \mathbf{l}_2 + \mathbf{n}_2^-$$

Simillarly, $Ad_{p_1}\mathbf{l}_1 = \mathbf{l}_1$ and

$$Ad_p(\mathbf{l}_1 + \mathbf{n}_1^+) = Ad_p\mathbf{l}_1 + Ad_p\mathbf{n}_1^+ = Ad_{m_2}\mathbf{l}_1 + \mathbf{n}_1^+ = \mathbf{l}_1 + \mathbf{n}_1^+$$

Substituting this to (2.5), we have

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} {}^{\sigma}(\mathbf{l}_1 + \mathbf{n}_1^+) & = & \mathbf{l}_1 + \mathbf{n}_1^+ \\ {}^{\sigma}(\mathbf{l}_2 + \mathbf{n}_2^-) & = & \mathbf{l}_2 + \mathbf{n}_2^+ \end{array}\right. \tag{2.9}$$

Since ${}^{\sigma}\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}$, then

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} {}^{\sigma}(\mathbf{l}_1) & = & \mathbf{l}_1 \\ {}^{\sigma}(\mathbf{l}_2) & = & \mathbf{l}_2 \end{array}\right. \tag{2.10}$$

The (2.3) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{l}_1 e + \mathbf{n}_1^+ e + \sum_{x\in\overline{\mathbf{r}}_1} \mathbf{C}({}^{\sigma}xe +^{\sigma}(\phi(x))f) + \mathbf{n}_2^- f + \mathbf{l}_2 f = \\ \mathbf{l}_1 e + \mathbf{n}_1^+ e + \sum_{x\in\overline{\mathbf{r}}_1} \mathbf{C}(Ad_p(x)e + Ad_p(\phi(x))f) + \mathbf{n}_2^- f + \mathbf{l}_2 f \end{array} \tag{2.11}$$

We stress that
$Ad_p x = Ad_{p_1}x(mod(\mathbf{n}_1^+))$, $Ad_{p_1}x \in \overline{\mathbf{r}}_1 \subset \mathbf{r}_1$ and $Ad_p\phi(x) = Ad_{p_2}\phi(x)(mod(\mathbf{n}_2^+))$, $Ad_{p_2}\phi(x) \in \overline{\mathbf{r}}_2 \subset \mathbf{r}_2$. We obtain

$$\sum_{x\in\overline{\mathbf{r}}_1} \mathbf{C}({}^{\sigma}xe+^{\sigma}(\phi(x))f) \subset \mathbf{l}_1 e+\mathbf{n}_1^+ e+\sum_{x\in\overline{\mathbf{r}}_1} \mathbf{C}(Ad_{p_1}(x)e+Ad_{p_2}(\phi(x))f)+\mathbf{n}_2^- f+\mathbf{l}_2 f \tag{2.12}$$

Since $p_1 = h_1 g_1$ with $h_1 \in H = exp(\mathbf{h})$, $g_1 \in G_1 = exp(\mathbf{g}_1)$, then

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} Ad_{p_1}\overline{\mathbf{r}}_1 & = & \overline{\mathbf{r}}_1 \\ Ad_{p_2}\overline{\mathbf{r}}_2 & = & \overline{\mathbf{r}}_2 \end{array}\right. \tag{2.13}$$

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} Ad_{p_1}\mathbf{l}_1 & = & \mathbf{l}_1 \\ Ad_{p_2}\mathbf{l}_2 & = & \mathbf{l}_2 \end{array}\right. \tag{2.14}$$

The (2.12) implies that for every $x \in \overline{\mathbf{r}}_1$ there exists $y \in \overline{\mathbf{r}}_1$ such that

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} {}^{\sigma}(x) & = & Ad_{p_1}y(mod(\mathbf{l}_1)) \\ {}^{\sigma}(\phi(x)) & = & Ad_{p_2}\phi(y)(mod(\mathbf{l}_2)) \end{array}\right. \tag{2.15}$$

It follows

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} {}^{\sigma}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}_1) & = & \overline{\mathbf{r}}_1 \\ {}^{\sigma}(\overline{\mathbf{r}}_2) & = & \overline{\mathbf{r}}_2 \end{array}\right. \tag{2.16}$$

The (2.10) and (2.16) prove the statement 1).

We have seen above that Ad_{p_1} saves $\bar{\mathbf{r}}_1$, $\mathbf{l}_1$ and Ad_{p_2} saves $\bar{\mathbf{r}}_2$, $\mathbf{l}_2$. Finally the (2.15) yields $\phi(Ad_{p_1}^{-1\sigma}(x)) = Ad_{p_2}^{-1\sigma}\phi(x)$ for $x \in \bar{\mathbf{r}}_1/\mathbf{l}_1$. □

Definition 2.2. The following condition we shall call the condition v*) for $\phi : \bar{\mathbf{r}}_1/\mathbf{l}_1 \mapsto \bar{\mathbf{r}}_2/\mathbf{l}_2$:
v*) ${}^{\sigma}\bar{\mathbf{r}}_i = \bar{\mathbf{r}}_i$, ${}^{\sigma}\mathbf{l}_i = \mathbf{l}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ and

$$^{\sigma}(\phi(x)) = \phi(^{\sigma}x) \tag{2.17}$$

for $x \in \bar{\mathbf{r}}_1/\mathbf{l}_1$.
Since $\sigma : \Pi \mapsto \Pi$, then ${}^{\sigma}\Pi_1 = \Pi_1$, ${}^{\sigma}\Pi_2 = \Pi_2$ and ${}^{\sigma}\phi(\alpha) = \phi({}^{\sigma}\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \Pi_1$.
Notations:
$\Pi_0 = \Pi_1 \bigcup \Pi_2$;
$\mathbf{h}^{\phi} = \{v \in \mathbf{h} | \alpha(v) = \phi(\alpha)v, \alpha \in \Pi_1\} = \{v \in \mathbf{h} | [v, \phi(x)] = \phi([v, x]), x \in \bar{\mathbf{r}}_1\}$;
The last formula can be rewritten as $ad_v\phi(x) = \phi ad_v(x), x \in \bar{\mathbf{r}}_1$ We shall call $\mathbf{h}^{\phi}$ the stabilizer subalgebra of ϕ.
$H^{\phi} = \{p \in H | Ad_p\phi(x) = \phi Ad_p(x)\}$. We shall call H^{ϕ} the stabilizer of ϕ. The Lie algebra of H^{ϕ} is $\mathbf{h}^{\phi}$.
Definition 2.3[BD].Let $\phi : \Pi_1 \mapsto \Pi_2$ satisfy i),ii),iii). Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Pi_1$. We shall say that $\alpha < \beta$ if there exists integer k such that $\phi^k(\alpha) = \beta$.
Note that $\alpha < \phi(\alpha) < \cdots < \phi^k(\alpha) = \beta$.
Definition 2.4. The set $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < \alpha_k$ is called a chain. We shall denote by $C(\alpha)$ the maximal chain with α.
Lemma 2.5. 1) The maximal chains either coinside or don't intersect.; 2) If ϕ and σ obey v*) (see Definition 2.2), then the image $\sigma(C)$ of a maximal chain is also a maximal chain.
Proof.
1) Let $C_1 = \{\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < \alpha_k\}$ and $C_2 = \{\beta_1 < \beta_2 < \cdots < \beta_k\}$ are maximal chains. If $\phi^n(\alpha) = \phi^m(\beta)$, $n \geq m$ then $\phi^{n-m}(\alpha) = \beta$ and , therefore, $\beta \in C_1$. Hence, $C_2 \subset C_1$. Since C_2 is maximal, $C_1 = C_2$.
2) Let $\{\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < \alpha_k\}$ be a maximal chain with $\alpha_{i+1} = \phi(\alpha_i)$. Denote $\beta_i = \sigma(\alpha_i)$. We see $\phi(\beta_i) = \phi(\sigma\alpha_i)) = \sigma(\phi(\alpha_i))) = \sigma(\alpha_{i+1}) = \beta_{i+1}$. Hence, $\beta_1 < \beta_2 < \cdots < \beta_k$.□
Corollary 2.6 The Π_0 is decomposed in maximal chains. The automorphism σ acts on the set of maximal chains.
Theorem 2.7. Let $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R})$ be a simple Lie algebra of L1-L5 types. Suppose that the map ϕ satisfies i)-iv) and the algebra $Ad_g\mathbf{W}(\phi)$ is σ-invariant. Denote as above $p = {}^{\sigma}g^{-1}g$. Then ϕ satisfies v*) and $p \in H^{\phi}$.
Proof. The proof is divided into 2 steps.
1) We recall that (2.1) implies (2.2) with $p = {}^{\sigma}g^{-1}g$. We are going to show in this step that if $Ad_g\mathbf{W}(\phi)$ is σ-invariant then ϕ satisfies v*).

It was proved that (2.1) implies (2.4). The equality (2.4) can be rewritten in the form

$$Ad_{p_2}^{-1\sigma}\phi^{\sigma}(Ad_{p_1}x) = \phi(x) \tag{2.18}$$

for $x \in \bar{\mathbf{r}}_1/\mathbf{l}_1$.

Decompose Π_1 and Π_2 in connected components:

$$\Pi_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \Pi_{1i}$$

$$\Pi_2 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \Pi_{2i}$$

Then $\mathbf{g}_1$ and $\mathbf{g}_2$ are decomposed in direct sums:

$$\mathbf{g}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathbf{g}_{1i}$$

$$\mathbf{g}_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathbf{g}_{2i}$$

We may assume that $\phi(\mathbf{g}_{1i}) = \mathbf{g}_{2i}$.

As we saw in Lemma 2.1 ${}^{\sigma}\mathbf{g}_1 = \mathbf{g}_1$, ${}^{\sigma}\mathbf{g}_2 = \mathbf{g}_2$. Since $p_1 \in R_1$, then $Ad_{p_1}(\mathbf{g}_1) = \mathbf{g}_1$ and Ad_{p_1} save the decomposition of $\mathbf{g}_1$ in the some of simple algebras. Simillarly, $p_2 \in R_2$, then $Ad_{p_2}(\mathbf{g}_2) = \mathbf{g}_2$ and Ad_{p_2} save the decomposition of $\mathbf{g}_2$ in the some of simple algebras. Denote $\psi = {}^{\sigma}\phi^{\sigma}$. According to (2.18), the maps ψ and ϕ gave the same permutation of simple components . Thus $\psi(\mathbf{g}_{1i}) = \mathbf{g}_{2i}$. Therefore, $\phi^{-1}\psi(\mathbf{g}_{1i}) = \mathbf{g}_{1i}$ and $\phi^{-1}\psi(\Pi_{1i}) = \Pi_{1i}$.

Denote $\phi^{-1}\psi = s_{1i}$. Then $\psi = \phi s_{1i}$. Substituting ϕs_{1i} for ψ in (2.18), we get

$$Ad_{p_2}^{-1}\phi s_{1i}(Ad_{p_1}x) = \phi(x) \qquad (2.19),$$

$$\phi^{-1}Ad_{p_2}^{-1}\phi s_{1i}(Ad_{p_1}x) = x \qquad (2.19)$$

for $x \in g_{1i}$. Denote $v_2 \in \mathbf{r}_2$ such that $p_2 = exp(v_2)$. Then

$$\phi^{-1}Ad_{p_2}^{-1}\phi(x) = \phi^{-1}exp(-ad_{v_2})\phi(x) = exp(-ad_{\phi^{-1}v_2})x$$

Therefore, $\phi^{-1}Ad_{p_2}^{-1}\phi$ is an inner automorphism of $\mathbf{g}_{1i}$. We denote it by Ad_q. The equality $Ad_q s_{1i} Ad_{p_1} = 1$ implies that s_{1i} is inner automorphism of $\mathbf{g}_{1i}$. Since $s_{1i} = \phi^{-1}\psi$ save Π_{1i}, then $s_{1i} = 1$. Finally, we get $\phi^{-1}\psi = 1$ and $\psi = \phi$. This proves (2.17) for $x \in \mathbf{g}_1$. If x belongs to orthogonale complement of $\mathbf{g}_1$ in $\bar{\mathbf{r}}_1$, then (2.4) is equivalent to (2.17). Consequently, (2.17) holds for all $x \in \bar{\mathbf{r}}_1/\mathbf{l}_1$.

2) The goal of this step is to prove that $p_1 = p_2 = p \in H^{\phi}$. As we prove in step 1), (2.17) and (2.4) hold. Then

$$\phi(Ad_{p_1}^{-1\sigma}(x)) = Ad_{p_2}^{-1\sigma}\phi(x) = Ad_{p_2}^{-1}\phi({}^{\sigma}x)$$

We get

$$\phi(Ad_{p_1}x) = Ad_{p_2}\phi(x), \qquad (2.19)$$

for all $x \in \bar{\mathbf{r}}_1/\mathbf{l}_1$.

There exists $v_i \in \mathbf{r}_i$ such that $p_i = exp(v_i)$. According Lemma 2.1, we have $v_1 = \mu_1 + \theta + t$, $v_2 = \mu_2 + \theta + t$ where $\mu_i \in \mathbf{m}_i$, $\theta \in (\mathbf{g}_1 \bigcap \mathbf{g}_2) \bigcap (\mathbf{n}^+ \oplus \mathbf{n}^-)$, $t \in \mathbf{h}$. We shall prove further that $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \theta = 0$.

We can decompose $t = t_i + t_i'$ where $t_i \in \mathbf{h}_i = \mathbf{g}_i \bigcap \mathbf{h}$, $t_i' \in \mathbf{h}$ and $[t_i', \mathbf{g}_i] = 0$. Denoting $g_i = exp(\mu + \theta + t_i)$, $h_i = exp(t_i')$, we get $p_i = g_i h_i = h_i g_i$.

The (2.19) implies $\phi(Ad_{g_1} x) = Ad_{g_2} \phi(x)$. Let G_i be the subgroup of G with Lie algebra $\mathbf{g}_i$. We can extend ϕ to the isomorphism of the groups $\phi : G_1 \mapsto G_2$. Then $Ad_{\phi(g_1)} \phi(x) = Ad_{g_2} \phi(x)$. Hence, $\phi(g_1) = g_2$. Therefore, $\phi(\mu_1 + \theta + t_1) = \mu_2 + \theta + t_2$. The element θ is a sum $\theta = \theta^+ + \theta^-$ where $\theta^{\pm} \in \mathbf{g}_1 \bigcap \mathbf{g}_2 \bigcap \mathbf{n}^{\pm}$. We obtain

$$\phi(\mu_1) + \phi(\theta^+) + \phi(\theta^-) + \phi(t_1) = \mu_2 + \theta^+ + \theta^- + t_2 \tag{2.20}$$

Recall that the map ϕ is defined via the map $\phi : \Pi_1 \mapsto \Pi_2$. Thus, $\phi(\mathbf{n}^+) \bigcap \mathbf{g}_1 \subset \mathbf{n}^+ \bigcap \mathbf{g}_2$, $\phi(\mathbf{n}^-) \bigcap \mathbf{g}_1 \subset \mathbf{n}^- \bigcap \mathbf{g}_2$, $\phi(\mathbf{h}_1) \subset \mathbf{h}_2$. The equality (2.20) holds if and only if

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} \phi(\theta^+) & = & \mu_2 + \theta^+ \\ \phi(\mu_1) + \phi(\theta^-) & = & \theta^- \\ \phi(t_1) & = & t_2 \end{array} \right. \tag{2.21}$$

Suppose that $\theta^+ \neq 0$. Denote Δ_i^+ the system if positive roots generated by Π_i. Then

$$\theta^+ = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_1^+} \xi_\alpha E_\alpha, \qquad \mu_2 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_2^+ - \Delta_1^+} \xi_\beta E_\beta$$

The equality $\phi(\theta^+) = \mu_2 + \theta^+$ implies

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_1^+} \xi_\alpha E_{\phi(\alpha)} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_2^+ - \Delta_1^+} \xi_\beta E_\beta + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_1^+} \xi_\alpha E_\alpha \tag{2.22}$$

For every simple root $\alpha \in \Pi_1$ we denote $l(\alpha)$ the length of the maximal chain $C(\alpha)$ (see definition 2.4) from the beginning to α. Denote

$$l_0 = min\{l(\alpha) | \alpha \in \Delta_1^+, \xi_\alpha \neq 0\}$$

and $l_0 = l(\alpha_0)$ for some positive root $\alpha_0 \in \Delta_1^+$ The term $\xi_{\alpha_0} E_{\alpha_0}$ belongs to the right side of (2.22) and dose not belong to to left side. A contradiction.

Therefore $\theta^+ = 0$ and $\mu_2 = 0$. Similarly we get $\theta^- = 0$ and $\mu_1 = 0$. This proves $v_1 = v_2 = t$, $p_1 = p_2 = exp(t) = p \in H$ and

$$Ad_p \phi = \phi Ad_p \tag{2.23}$$

This proves the Theorem. □

Corollary 2.8 Let $\phi : \Pi_1 \mapsto \Pi_2$ satisfy i)-iv). Consider the follwing conditions:
1) $Ad_g \mathbf{W}(\phi)$ is σ-invariant , 2) $\mathbf{W}(\phi)$ is q-invariant. Then 1) implies 2) and 2) is equivalent to v*).

Proof. If $Ad_g \mathbf{W}(\phi)$ is σ-invariant for some $g \in G$, then ϕ obeies v*). This proves 1)$\mapsto$ v*) and 2)$\mapsto$v*).

According to Lemma 2.1,if $Ad_g\mathbf{W}(\phi)$ is σ-invariant, then ${}^\sigma\mathbf{l}_i = \mathbf{l}_i$, ${}^\sigma\mathbf{n}_1^+ = \mathbf{n}_1^+$, ${}^\sigma\mathbf{n}_2^- = \mathbf{n}_2^-$, ${}^\sigma\overline{\mathbf{r}}_i = \overline{\mathbf{r}}_i$. The condition v*) is true and yields

$$^\sigma(xe + \phi(x)f) = {}^\sigma xe + {}^\sigma\phi(x)f) = {}^\sigma xe + \phi({}^\sigma x)f$$

for $x \in \overline{\mathbf{r}}_1/\mathbf{l}_1$. He have

$$^\sigma\mathbf{W}(\phi) = {}^\sigma(\mathbf{l}_1 e + \mathbf{n}_1^+ e + \sum_{x\in\overline{\mathbf{r}}_1} \mathbf{C}(xe + \phi(x)f) + \mathbf{n}_2^- f + \mathbf{l}_2 f) =$$

$$\mathbf{l}_1 e + \mathbf{n}_1^+ e + \sum_{x\in\overline{\mathbf{r}}_1} \mathbf{C}(xe + \phi(x)f) + \mathbf{n}_2^- f + \mathbf{l}_2 f = \mathbf{W}(\phi).$$

This proves 1)$\mapsto$2) and v*)$\mapsto$2). $\square$

Completion of classification

In this section we are going to classify all Manin triples $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}), W, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R})$ up to the Ad-action of the group $G(\mathbf{R}) = G(\mathbf{C})^\Gamma$. As above $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}) \otimes \mathbf{C}$. Recall that $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{g}$ in the case $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R})$ is a real form of a complex simple Lie algebra $\mathbf{g}$ and $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{g}$ in the case $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{g}_\mathbf{R}$ is the realification of $\mathbf{g}$. Denote Π^c the set of simple roots of $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{C})$. So $\Pi^c = \Pi$ in the case of real forms and $\Pi^c = \Pi \bigcup \Pi'$ in the case $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R})$ is the realification of $\mathbf{g}$ (here Π,Π' are sets of simple roots of $\mathbf{g}$). Let we have two subsets Π_1^c, Π_2^c in Π^c. Let $\phi : \Pi_1^c \mapsto \Pi_2^c$ satisfy i)-iiv). The $\mathbf{W}(\phi)$ is the subalgebra (1.2), constructed by the map ϕ for $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{C})$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R})$ be a real simple Lie algebra if the type L1-L5. and let the subalgebra $Ad_g\mathbf{W}(\phi)$ be σ-invariant. We assert $(Ad_g\mathbf{W}(\phi))^\Gamma = Ad_r(\mathbf{W}(\phi))^\Gamma$ for some $r \in G(\mathbf{R})$.

Proof. Recall that we choose σ-invariant subalgebras $\mathbf{n}^\pm$, $\mathbf{h}$ in $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{C})$. The conjugation σ saves the set of simple roots Π. According to Theorem 2.7., the element $p = {}^\sigma g^{-1} g$ lies in H^ϕ, $\mathbf{W}(\phi)$ is also σ-invariant and ϕ satisfies conditions v*). Then $\phi({}^\sigma\alpha) = {}^\sigma\phi(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \Pi_1$.

Denote by v the element in $\mathbf{h}$ such that $p = exp(v)$. The element p uniquelly determined by the system of numbers $p_\alpha = exp(\alpha(v))$, $\alpha \in \Pi$.

As $p = exp(v)$, then ${}^\sigma p = exp({}^\sigma v)$ and

$$({}^\sigma p)_\alpha = exp\alpha({}^\sigma v) = exp\overline{{}^\sigma\alpha(v)} = \overline{p_{\sigma(\alpha)}}$$

Easily

$$(p^{-1})_\alpha = exp(\alpha(-v)) = p_\alpha^{-1}$$

The equality ${}^\sigma p = p^{-1}$ implies

$$\overline{p_{\sigma(\alpha)}} = p_\alpha^{-1} \tag{3.1}$$

for all $\alpha \in \Pi$. Since $p \in H^\phi$, then $Ad_p\phi(x) = \phi(Ad_p(x))$, $x \in \overline{\mathbf{r}}_1/\mathbf{l}$. This is equivalent to $Ad_p\phi(E_\alpha) = \phi(Ad_p(E_\alpha))$, $\alpha \in \Pi_1$. We obtain

$$p_\alpha = p_{\phi(\alpha)} \tag{3.2}$$

for $\alpha \in \Pi_1$.

By Lemmas 2.5-2.6, Π_0 is decomposes in maximal chains and σ acts on the chains by permutations. It follows from (3.2) that the system of elements p_α is stable on maximal chains in Π_0. There exists a system $h_\alpha \in \mathbf{C}^*$ which is stable on maximal chains and $p_\alpha = \overline{h_{\sigma(\alpha)}}^{-1} h_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \Pi$. Therefore, there exists the element $h \in H^\phi$ such that $p = {}^\sigma h^{-1} h$. By definition, ${}^\sigma g^{-1} g = p = {}^\sigma h^{-1} h$. Hence ${}^\sigma(gh^{-1}) = gh^{-1}$ and $gh^{-1} \in G(\mathbf{R})$. Finally $g = rh$, $r \in G(\mathbf{R})$, $h \in H^\phi$. We have

$$Ad_h \mathbf{W}(\phi) = Ad_h(\mathbf{l}_1 e + \mathbf{n}_1^+ e) + \sum_{x \in \overline{\mathbf{r}}_1} \mathbf{C}(Ad_h xe + Ad_h \phi(x) f) + Ad_h(\mathbf{n}_2^- f + \mathbf{l}_2 f) =$$

$$\mathbf{l}_1 e + \mathbf{n}_1^+ e + \sum_{x \in \overline{\mathbf{r}}_1} \mathbf{C}(Ad_h xe + \phi(Ad_h x) f) + \mathbf{n}_2^- f + \mathbf{l}_2 f = \mathbf{W}(\phi).$$

It follows $Ad_g \mathbf{W}(\phi) = Ad_r \mathbf{W}(\phi)$ and $(Ad_g \mathbf{W}(\phi))^\Gamma = Ad_r(\mathbf{W}(\phi))^\Gamma$.$\square$

Let $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R})$ be a real simple Lie algebra of types L1-L2. We choose σ-invariant subalgebras $\mathbf{h}$, $\mathbf{n}^\pm$ in $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{C})$ as above. The $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{R})$ is a real form of $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{C})$. The conjugation σ acts onthe set of simple roots as in Satake-diagrams of L1-L5. Let Π_1^c and Π_2^c be σ-invariant subsets in the set of simple roots of $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{C})$. Let the map $\phi : \Pi_1 \mapsto \Pi_2$ be σ-invariant and satisfy the conditions i), ii), iii).

Denote by $\mathbf{g}_i(\mathbf{R})$, $\mathbf{h}_i(\mathbf{R})$ the real forms of $\mathbf{g}_i(\mathbf{C})$, $\mathbf{h}_i(C)$. Choose two real subspases $\mathbf{h}_i(\mathbf{R})$ such that $\overline{\mathbf{h}}_i(\mathbf{R})^\perp \subset \mathbf{h}_i(\mathbf{R})$ and $\mathbf{h}_i(\mathbf{R}) \subset \overline{\mathbf{h}}_i(\mathbf{R})$.

Denote $\overline{\mathbf{r}}_i(\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{g}_i(\mathbf{R}) + \overline{\mathbf{h}}_i(\mathbf{R})$, $\mathbf{l}_i(\mathbf{R}) = \overline{\mathbf{h}}_i(\mathbf{R})^\perp$. One can extend the map ϕ to the isomorphism

$$\phi : \mathbf{g}_1(\mathbf{R}) \mapsto \mathbf{g}_2(\mathbf{R}).$$

Suppose ϕ is extended to the isomorphism

$$\phi : \overline{\mathbf{r}}_1(\mathbf{R})/\mathbf{l}_1(\mathbf{R}) \mapsto \overline{\mathbf{r}}_2(\mathbf{R})/\mathbf{l}_2(\mathbf{R}),$$

preserving Killing form and having no fixed points. We shall refer the above condition as condition iv$\mathbf{R}$) Consider the subalgebra

$$\mathbf{W}(\phi)_\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{l}_1(\mathbf{R}) + \mathbf{n}_1(\mathbf{R})^+ e + \sum_{x \in \overline{\mathbf{r}}_1(\mathbf{R})} \mathbf{R}(xe + \phi(x) f) + \mathbf{n}_2(\mathbf{R})^- f + \mathbf{l}_2(\mathbf{R}) \qquad (3.3)$$

Theorem 3.2. Let ϕ be σ-invariant and satisfy i)-iii) and iv$\mathbf{R}$). Let $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R})$ be a simple Lie algebra of type L1-L5. Then

1) The triple $(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}), \mathbf{W}(\phi)_\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}))$ is a Manin triple;

2) Every Manin triple $(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}), V, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}))$ is $Ad_{G(\mathbf{R})}$ equivalent to the triple $(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}), \mathbf{W}(\phi)_\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}))$.

Proof. Consider the complexifications $\mathbf{g}_i(\mathbf{C})$, $\overline{\mathbf{r}}_i(\mathbf{C})$, $\mathbf{l}_i(\mathbf{C})$ of $\mathbf{g}_i(\mathbf{R})$, $\overline{\mathbf{r}}_i(\mathbf{R})$, $\mathbf{l}_i(\mathbf{R})$. We extend the ϕ to $\mathbf{C}$-linear σ-invariant isomorphism of $\mathbf{g}_1(\mathbf{C})$ onto $\mathbf{g}_2(\mathbf{C})$ and further to $\overline{\mathbf{r}}_1(\mathbf{C})/\mathbf{l}_1(\mathbf{C})$ onto $\overline{\mathbf{r}}_2(\mathbf{C})/\mathbf{l}_2(\mathbf{C})$. We get ϕ, satisfying conditions i)-iv), and v*). Therefore, $\mathbf{W}(\phi)$ is σ-invariant. The algebra $\mathbf{W}(\phi)^\Gamma$ is generated by $\mathbf{l}_1(\mathbf{R})$, $\mathbf{l}_2(\mathbf{R})$, $\mathbf{n}_1^+(\mathbf{R})$, $\mathbf{n}_2^-(\mathbf{R})$ and the elements

$$xe + \phi(x)f +^\sigma (xe + \phi(x)f) = (x + {}^\sigma x)e + (\phi(x)f + {}^\sigma\phi(x)f) = (x + {}^\sigma x)e + \phi(x + {}^\sigma x)f$$

where $x \in \overline{\mathbf{r}}_1(\mathbf{C})/\mathbf{l}_1(\mathbf{C})$. We have $\mathbf{W}(\phi)^\Gamma = \mathbf{W}(\phi)_\mathbf{R}$ and $(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}), \mathbf{W}(\phi)_\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}))$ is a Manin triple. this proves 1).

Let $(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}), W, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}))$ be a Manin triple; then $(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{C}), W \otimes \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{C}) \oplus \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{C}))$ is also a Manin triple. The algebra $W \otimes \mathbf{C}$ is σ-invariant and, according to Theorem 1.2, $W \otimes \mathbf{C} = Ad_g \mathbf{W}(\phi)$. Then ϕ satisfies v*) (see Theorem 2.7) and we get the isomorphism iv$\mathbf{R}$) of the algebras of invariants. We obtain $(W \otimes \mathbf{C})^\Gamma = (Ad_g \mathbf{W}(\phi))^\Gamma = Ad_r(\mathbf{W}(\phi))^\Gamma = Ad_r \mathbf{W}(\phi)_\mathbf{R}$. This proves the Theorem.□

Corollary 3.3 Let $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R})$ be the split form of a simple complex Lie algebra $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R})$. Then $\mathbf{l}_1(\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{l}_2(\mathbf{R}) = 0$ and

$$\mathbf{W}(\phi)_\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{n}_1(\mathbf{R})^+ e + \sum_{x \in \overline{\mathbf{r}}_1(\mathbf{R})} \mathbf{R}(xe + \phi(x)f) + \mathbf{n}_2(\mathbf{R})^- f \qquad (3.4)$$

All Manin triples $(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}), W, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}) \oplus \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}))$ has the form $W = Ad_r(\mathbf{W}(\phi)_\mathbf{R})$ with $r \in G(\mathbf{R})$.

Proof. The Killing form $K(\cdot,\cdot)$ is positive definite on $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{R})$. Therefore, $\mathbf{l}_1(\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{l}_2(\mathbf{R}) = 0$.□

Further we shall specify the statement of Theorem 3.2 in the case $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R})$ is a realification of $\mathbf{g}$.

Theorem 3.4 Let $\mathbf{g}$ be a complex simple Lie algebra and $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{g}_\mathbf{R}$ is the realification of $\mathbf{g}$. as in section 1 let $\phi \Pi_1 \mapsto \Pi_2$ satisfy i)-iii). We extend ϕ to $\mathbf{R}$-isomorphism $\phi : \mathbf{g}_1 \mapsto \mathbf{g}_2$ such that ϕ is $\mathbf{C}$ linear or $\mathbf{C}$-antilinear on each simple component of $\mathbf{g}_1$. Let $\overline{\mathbf{h}}_1$, $\overline{\mathbf{h}}_2$ be the $\mathbf{R}$-subalgebras of $\mathbf{h}$ such that $\overline{\mathbf{h}}_i^\perp \subset \overline{\mathbf{h}}_i$ and $\mathbf{h}_i \subset \overline{\mathbf{h}}_i$. Denote as above $\mathbf{l}_i = \overline{\mathbf{h}}_i^\perp = Ker K(\cdot,\cdot)|_{\overline{\mathbf{h}}_i}$, $\overline{\mathbf{r}}_i = \mathbf{g}_i + \overline{\mathbf{h}}_i$. Suppose that ϕ is extended to the $\mathbf{R}$-isomomorphism

$$\phi : \overline{\mathbf{r}}_1/\mathbf{l}_1 \mapsto \overline{\mathbf{r}}_2/\mathbf{l}_2$$

such that ϕ preserve $K(\cdot,\cdot)$ and has no fixed points in $\mathbf{h}$ (condition iv)).
Consider the subalgebra $\mathbf{W}(\phi)$ (see 1.2). Then
1) $(\mathbf{g}_\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{W}(\phi), \mathbf{g}_\mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{g}_\mathbf{R}))$ is a Manin triple;
2) Every Manin triple $(\mathbf{g}_\mathbf{R}, W', \mathbf{g}_\mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{g}_\mathbf{R})$ is gauge equivalent to $(\mathbf{g}_\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{W}(\phi), \mathbf{g}_\mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{g}_\mathbf{R})$.

Proof. The complexification $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{C})$ of $\mathbf{g}_\mathbf{R}$ equals to $\mathbf{g} \oplus \mathbf{g}$. The set of simple roots of $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{C})$ is $\Pi^c = \Pi \bigcup \Pi'$ where $\Pi = \{\alpha'_1, \ldots, \alpha'_n\}$ and $\Pi' = \{\alpha_1", \ldots, \alpha_n"\}$ are systems of symple roots of $\mathbf{g}$. The conjugation σ of $\mathbf{g}_\mathbf{R}$ acts on Π^c by $\sigma(\alpha_i) = \alpha'_i$ and $\sigma(a'_i) = \alpha_i$.

For the subsets Π_1, $\Pi_2 \subset \Pi$ we consider $\Pi^c_i = \Pi_i \bigcup \Pi'_i$. Decompose Π_1, Π_2 in the union of connected components:

$$\Pi_1 = \bigcup_{s=1}^{k} \Pi_{1s}, \quad \Pi_2 = \bigcup_{s=1}^{k} \Pi_{2s}$$

Suppose $\phi(\Pi_{1s}) = \Pi_{2s}$. We extend ϕ to σ-invariant map $\phi : \Pi^c_1 \mapsto \Pi^c_2$, putting $\phi(\Pi_{1s}) = \Pi_{1s}$,if $\phi|_{\mathbf{g}_{1s}}$ is $\mathbf{C}$-linear, and $\phi(\Pi_{1s}) = \Pi'_{1s}$,if $\phi|_{\mathbf{g}_{1s}}$ is $\mathbf{C}$-antilinear. We get σ-invariant map $\phi : \Pi^c_1 \mapsto \Pi^c_2$, satisfying i), ii), iii). We extend ϕ to σ-invariant $\mathbf{C}$-linear map $\overline{\mathbf{r}}_1(\mathbf{C})/\mathbf{l}_1(\mathbf{C})$ onto $\overline{\mathbf{r}}_2(\mathbf{C})/\mathbf{l}_2(\mathbf{C})$, obeying i)-v*). By Theorem 1.2, $\mathbf{W}(\phi) \otimes \mathbf{C}$ is complementary subalgebra in Manin triple $(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{W}(\phi) \otimes \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{C}) \oplus \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{C}))$. Considering σ-invariants, we see that $\mathbf{W}(\phi)$ is complementary subalgebra in real Manin triple $(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{W}(\phi), \mathbf{g} \oplus \mathbf{g})$. This proves 1).

On the other hand, if $(\mathbf{g_R}, W, \mathbf{g_R} \oplus \mathbf{g_R})$ is a real Manin triple, then W is gauge equivalent to $\mathbf{W}(\phi)_{\mathbf{R}}$. The last subalgebra is constructed by the two σ-invariant subsets $\Pi_i^c = \Pi_i \bigcup \Pi_i'$ and σ invariant map $\phi : \Pi_1^c \mapsto \Pi_2^c$, obeying i)-v*). If $\phi(\Pi_{1s}) = \Pi_{1s}$ then the restriction of ϕ to $\mathbf{g}_{1s}(\mathbf{R}) = (\mathbf{g}_{1s}(\mathbf{C}))^\Gamma$ is $\mathbf{C}$-linear. In the case $\phi(\Pi_{1s}) = \Pi_{1s}'$ the restriction is $\mathbf{C}$-antilinear. The algebra of invariants coinsides with $Ad_r\mathbf{W}(\phi)$.□

References

[VO] E.Vinberg, A.Onishchik, Seminar on Lie Groups and algebraic groups, URSS, Moscow, 1995.

[GG] M.Goto, F.Grosshans, Semisimple Lie algebras, Marcel Dekker,Inc., New York and Basel, 1978.

[BD] A.Belavin, V.Drinfel'd, On classical Yang-Baxter equation for simple Lie algebras, Funct.Anal.Appl.16(1982).

[S] A.Stolin, Some remarks on Lie bialgebra structures on simple complex Lie algebras, Preprint NO 1998-08/ISSN 0347-2809.

[ST] M.Semenov-Tian-Shanskii, Poisson Lie groups. Quantum principle of duality and twisted quantum double, J.Theor.Math.Phys. 93, N2, 1992, 302-329.

[CGR] M.Cahen, S.Gutt, J.Rawnsley, Some remarks on the classification of Poisson-Lie groups, Contemporary Math. 179, 1994, 1-15.

[CH] V.Chloup, Bialgebra structure on a real semisimple Lie algebra, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. 2, 1995, 265-278.